UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,898	08/18/2006	Yukihiro Nakasaka	129122	2477
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 09/17/200 RIDGE, PLC	8	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3208	350		VILAKAZI, SIZO BINDA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/589,898	NAKASAKA, YUKIHIR	NAKASAKA, YUKIHIRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SIZO B. VILAKAZI	3747		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 7     Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal materials	• •	erits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ and Applicant may not request that any objection to is/are: a) ☐ and is	drawn from consideration.  id/or election requirement.  niner.  accepted or b) □ objected to	-		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	·	• • •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	E Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F 10-1	J2.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendments and Applicant Arguments submitted on 06/11/2008 have been received and its contents have been carefully considered.

Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - a. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 13, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shomura (US Patent No. 6,170,465 B1) in view of Ishikawa et al. (US Patent No. 6,975,934 B2) and Mashiki (US Patent No. 6,176,220 B1).
- 3. In Re claims 1-3, Shomura discloses
  - a. An injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount (Fig. 1, item 23, Column 7, Lines 36-43, and Lines 54-62)

Art Unit: 3747

b. A computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed by the injection amount control means (Fig. 1, item 39, Column 7, Lines 54-62 and Column 8, Lines 10-14)

- c. that the various detected values detected by the various sensors, or the values stored in the control unit could be output to the vehicle's tachometer or other such device (Column 14, Lines 38-67)
- that the rotation speed change is stored in the control unit (Column 10,
   Lines 17-22), therefore it is a value capable of being output
- 4. With regards to the "injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount" is explained on Page 29, Lines 3-14 and Fig. 1, item 18 in the specification. Shomura teaches a means for changing the fuel injection amount (Column 7, Lines 36-43, and Lines 54-62). The method taught by Shomura is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.
- 5. With regards to the "computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed by the

Art Unit: 3747

injection amount control means" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed by the injection amount control means" is explained on Page 29, Lines 15-21 in the specification. Shomura teaches a means for determining the rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed (Column 7, Lines 54-62 and Column 8, Lines 10-14). The method taught by Shomura is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.

- 6. With regards to the "output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation among the cylinders" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation among the cylinders" is explained on Page 30, Lines 9-26 in the specification.
- 7. Shomura does not disclose output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means <u>as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation among the cylinders</u>

Art Unit: 3747

8. However, Ishikawa et al. acknowledge that the variation in air intake amount can be detected or corrected based on the engine torque or rotational speed variation resulting from a change in fuel injection amount (Column 1, Line 64 through Column 2 Line 40)

- 9. Shomura/Ishikawa et al. do not disclose the comparison and judgement means as set forth in the claim.
- 10. However, Mashiki discloses
  - a. comparison means for comparing a predetermined reference value with the amount of a change that occurs when the injection amount control means changes the fuel injection amount from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Lines 58-63; and Column 14, Lines 5-26);
  - b. and judgment means, which, when comparing the change to the reference value, judges that a permissible level is exceeded by the air intake amount variations among the cylinders (Column 10, Line 65 through Column 11, Line 17).
- 11. The examiner notes that Mashiki compares the predetermined reference value (dlnv C1) to the amount of change that occurred (dln), and judges that a permissible torque variation is exceeded as evidenced by the subsequent change in fuel injection amount (Column 11, Lines 15-17). As has already been discussed, Ishikawa discloses that it is common knowledge in the art that excess torque variation is linked to air intake variation, thus in judging that excess torque variation has been exceeded. Mashiki judges that a permissible level of intake air amount variation is exceeded.

Art Unit: 3747

12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shomura/Ishikawa with the system disclosed by Mashiki in order to make adjustments to the fuel injection amount only when an excessive amount of air amount variation among cylinders occurs.

- 13. In Re claims 5-7, Shomura discloses
  - a. An injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount for a particular one of the cylinders from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount (Column 7, Lines 36-43 and Lines 54-62, Column 10, Lines 61-67)
  - b. A computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the injection amount control means (Column 7, Lines 54-62 and Column 8, Lines 10-14)
  - c. that the various detected values detected by the various sensors, or the values stored in the control unit could be output to the vehicle's tachometer or other such device (Column 14, Lines 38-67)
  - that the rotation speed change is stored in the control unit (Column 10,
     Lines 17-22), therefore it is a value capable of being output
- 14. With regards to the "An injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount for a particular one of the cylinders from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes

Art Unit: 3747

35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount" is explained on Page 35, Lines 3-18 and Fig. 1, item 18 in the specification. Shomura teaches a means for changing the fuel injection amount (Column 7, Lines 36-43, and Lines 54-62). The method taught by Shomura is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.

Page 7

15. With regards to the "computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the injection amount control means" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the injection amount control means" is explained on Page 35, Lines 19-22 in the specification. Shomura teaches a means for determining the rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed (Column 7, Lines 54-62 and Column 8, Lines 10-14). The method taught by Shomura is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.

Art Unit: 3747

16. With regards to the "output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in the particular cylinder" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in the particular cylinder" is explained on Page 36, Lines 1-21 in the specification.

- 17. Shomura does not disclose output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in the particular cylinder.
- 18. However, Ishikawa et al. acknowledge that the variation in air intake amount can be detected or corrected based on the engine torque or rotational speed variation resulting from a change in fuel injection amount (Column 1, Line 64 through Column 2 Line 40).
- 19. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified system disclosed by Shomura with the information disclosed by Ishikawa et al. to arrive at an output means for outputting torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in the particular cylinder, with the purpose in mind of adjusting the intake air in accordance with the torque variation (Ishikawa, Col. 2, line 36-41).

Art Unit: 3747

20. Furthermore, Shomura/Ishikawa et al. do not disclose the comparison and judgement means as set forth in the claim.

## 21. However, Mashiki discloses

a. comparison means for comparing a predetermined reference value with the amount of a change that occurs when the injection amount control means changes the fuel injection amount from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Lines 58-63; and Column 14, Lines 5-26));

- b. and judgment means, which, when comparing the change to the reference value, judges that a permissible level is exceeded by the air intake amount variations among the cylinders (Column 10, Line 65 through Column 11, Line 17).
- 22. The examiner notes that Mashiki compares the predetermined reference value (dlnv C1) to the amount of change that occurred (dln), and judges that a permissible torque variation is exceeded as evidenced by the subsequent change in fuel injection amount (Column 11, Lines 15-17). As has already been discussed, Ishikawa discloses that it is common knowledge in the art that excess torque variation is linked to air intake variation, thus in judging that excess torque variation has been exceeded. Mashiki judges that a permissible level of intake air amount variation is exceeded.
- 23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shomura/Ishikawa with the system disclosed by Mashiki in order to make adjustments to the fuel injection amount only when an excessive amount of air amount variation among cylinders occurs.

Art Unit: 3747

24. In Re claims 9-11, Shomura discloses

- a. An injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount (Column 7, Lines 36-43 and Lines 54-62, Column 10, Lines 61-67)
- b. A computation means for determining on an individual cylinder basis the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed by the injection amount control means (Column 7, Lines 54-62 and Column 8, Lines 10-14)
- c. that the various detected values detected by the various sensors, or the values stored in the control unit could be output to the vehicle's tachometer or other such device (Column 14, Lines 38-67)
- that the rotation speed change is stored in the control unit (Column 10,
   Lines 17-22), therefore it is a value capable of being output
- 25. With regards to the "injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount for a particular one of the cylinders from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "injection amount control means for changing a fuel injection amount from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount" is explained on Page 41, Lines 5-7 and Fig. 1, item 18 in the specification. Shomura teaches a means for changing the fuel injection amount

Art Unit: 3747

(Column 7, Lines 36-43, and Lines 54-62). The method taught by Shomura is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.

- 26. With regards to the "computation means for determining on an individual cylinder basis the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed by the injection amount control means" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "computation means for determining on an individual cylinder basis the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed by the injection amount control means" is explained on Page 41, Lines 11-14 in the specification. Shomura teaches a means for determining the rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount is changed (Column 7, Lines 54-62 and Column 8, Lines 10-14). The method taught by Shomura is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.
- 27. With regards to the "output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in an individual cylinder" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change

Art Unit: 3747

amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in an individual cylinder" is explained on Page 41, Lines 25 through Page 42, Line 22 in the specification.

- 28. Shomura does not disclose output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in an individual cylinder.
- 29. However, Ishikawa et al. acknowledge that the variation in air intake amount can be detected or corrected based on the engine torque or rotational speed variation resulting from a change in fuel injection amount (Column 1, Line 64 through Column 2 Line 24).
- 30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified system disclosed by Shomura with the information disclosed by Ishikawa et al. to arrive at an output means for outputting torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation means as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variation in an individual cylinder, with the purpose in mind of adjusting the intake air in accordance with the torque variation (Ishikawa, Col. 2, line 36-41).
- 31. Furthermore, Shomura/Ishikawa et al. do not disclose the comparison and judgement means as set forth in the claim.
- 32. However, Mashiki discloses
  - a. comparison means for comparing a predetermined reference value with the amount of a change that occurs when the injection amount control means

Art Unit: 3747

changes the fuel injection amount from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Lines 58-63; and Column 14, Lines 5-26));

- b. and judgment means, which, when comparing the change to the reference value, judges that a permissible level is exceeded by the air intake amount variations among the cylinders (Column 10, Line 65 through Column 11, Line 17).
- 33. The examiner notes that Mashiki compares the predetermined reference value (dlnv C1) to the amount of change that occurred (dln), and judges that a permissible torque variation is exceeded as evidenced by the subsequent change in fuel injection amount (Column 11, Lines 15-17). As has already been discussed, Ishikawa discloses that it is common knowledge in the art that excess torque variation is linked to air intake variation, thus in judging that excess torque variation has been exceeded, Mashiki judges that a permissible level of intake air amount variation is exceeded.
- 34. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shomura/Ishikawa with the system disclosed by Mashiki in order to make adjustments to the fuel injection amount only when an excessive amount of air amount variation among cylinders occurs.
- 35. In Re claim 13, Shomura discloses:
  - a. first injection amount control means for changing the fuel injection amount for a particular one of the cylinders from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to an increased amount (Fig. 1, item 23, Column 7, Lines 36-43, and Lines 54-62)

Art Unit: 3747

b. output means for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means and the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the second computation means as index values that indicate the degree of intake air amount variation in the particular cylinder (see claim 1 rejection above)

#### 36. Shomura does not disclose:

- a. first computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the first injection amount control means
- b. second injection amount control means, which, when the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means is not greater than a predetermined reference value, decreases the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation
- c. second computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the second injection amount control means (

## 37. However, Mashiki discloses:

a. first computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the first injection amount control means (Column 9, Lines 54-58)

Art Unit: 3747

b. second injection amount control means, which, when the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means is not greater than a predetermined reference value, decreases the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Line 58 through Column 11, Line 17)

- c. second computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the second injection amount control means (Column 9, Lines 22-29)
- 38. With regards to the "first computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the first injection amount control means" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "first computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the first injection amount control means" is explained on Page 55, Line 26 through Page 56, Line 1in the specification. Mashiki teaches a means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the first injection amount control means (Column 9, Lines 54-58). The method taught by Mashiki is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and

Art Unit: 3747

produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.

- 39. With regards to the "second injection amount control means, which, when the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means is not greater than a predetermined reference value, decreases the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation" within claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "second injection amount control means, which, when the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means is not greater than a predetermined reference value, decreases the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation" is explained on Page 57, Lines 11-16 in the specification. Mashiki teaches a means, which, when the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means is not greater than a predetermined reference value, decreases the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Line 58 through Column 11, Line 17). The method taught by Mashiki is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.
- 40. With regards to the "second computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the second injection amount control means" within

Art Unit: 3747

claim 1, this limitation meets the three prong test per MPEP 2181 and thereby invokes 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The "second computation means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the second injection amount control means" is explained on Page 57, Lines 16-18 in the specification. Mashiki teaches a means for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the second injection amount control means (Column 9, Lines 22-29). The method taught by Mashiki is considered to be equivalent because it performs the same function in substantially the same way and produces substantially the same result as the corresponding element in the applicant's specification.

- 41. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified system disclosed by Shomura with the invention disclosed by Mashiki to arrive at the current invention.
- 42. Furthermore, Shomura/Ishikawa et al. do not disclose the comparison and judgement means as set forth in the claim.
- 43. However, Mashiki discloses
  - a. comparison means for comparing a predetermined reference value with the amount of a change that occurs when the injection amount control means changes the fuel injection amount from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Lines 58-63);

Art Unit: 3747

b. and judgment means, which, when comparing the change to the reference value, judges that a permissible level is exceeded by the air intake amount variations among the cylinders (Column 10, Line 65 through Column 11, Line 17).

- 44. The examiner notes that Mashiki compares the predetermined reference value (dlnv C1) to the amount of change that occurred (dln), and judges that a permissible torque variation is exceeded as evidenced by the subsequent change in fuel injection amount (Column 11, Lines 15-17). As has already been discussed, Ishikawa discloses that it is common knowledge in the art that excess torque variation is linked to air intake variation, thus in judging that excess torque variation has been exceeded.
- 45. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shomura/Ishikawa with the system disclosed by Mashiki in order to make adjustments to the fuel injection amount only when an excessive amount of air amount variation among cylinders occurs.
- 46. In Re claims 16-18, Shomura discloses
  - a. An injection amount control unit for changing a fuel injection amount from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to either an increased amount or a decreased amount in a particular cylinder, or on an individual cylinder basis (Column 5, Lines 24-26, and Column 7, Lines 17-30)
  - b. A computation unit for determining on an individual cylinder basis the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection

Art Unit: 3747

amount is changed by the injection amount control means in a particular cylinder, or on an individual cylinder basis (Column 8, Lines 10-14)

- c. An output unit for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the computation unit as an index value that indicates the degree of intake air amount variations among the cylinders (Column 14, Lines 38-67)
- 47. Shomura does not disclose the comparison and judgement units as set forth in the claim.
- 48. However, Mashiki discloses
  - a. a comparison unit for comparing a predetermined reference value with the amount of a change that occurs when the injection amount control means changes the fuel injection amount from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Lines 58-63);
  - b. and a judgment unit, which, when comparing the change to the reference value, judges that a permissible level is exceeded by the air intake amount variations among the cylinders (Column 10, Line 65 through Column 11, Line 17).
- 49. The examiner notes that Mashiki compares the predetermined reference value (dlnv C1) to the amount of change that occurred (dln), and judges that a permissible torque variation is exceeded as evidenced by the subsequent change in fuel injection amount (Column 11, Lines 15-17). As has already been discussed, Ishikawa discloses that it is common knowledge in the art that excess torque variation is linked to air intake

Art Unit: 3747

variation, thus in judging that excess torque variation has been exceeded, Mashiki judges that a permissible level of intake air amount variation is exceeded.

50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shomura/Ishikawa with the system disclosed by Mashiki in order to make adjustments to the fuel injection amount only when an excessive amount of air amount variation among cylinders occurs.

### 51. In Re claim 19, Shomura discloses:

- a. first injection amount control unit for changing the fuel injection amount for a particular one of the cylinders from an injection amount for stoichiometric operation to an increased amount (Column 5, Lines 24-26, and Column 7, Lines 17-30)
- b. output unit for outputting the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means and the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the second computation means as index values that indicate the degree of intake air amount variation in the particular cylinder (Column 14, Lines 38-67)

## 52. Shomura does not disclose:

- a. first computation unit for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the first injection amount control means
- b. second injection amount control unit, which, when the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means is not greater

Art Unit: 3747

than a predetermined reference value, decreases the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation

- c. second computation unit for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the second injection amount control means (
- 53. However, Mashiki discloses:
  - a. first computation unit for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the first injection amount control means (Column 8, Lines 60-65)
  - b. second injection amount control unit, which, when the torque or rotation speed change amount determined by the first computation means is not greater than a predetermined reference value, decreases the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 8, Lines 38-42)
  - c. second computation unit for determining the amount of a torque or rotation speed change that occurs when the fuel injection amount for the particular cylinder is changed by the second injection amount control means (Column 8, Lines 60-65)
  - d. a comparison unit for comparing a predetermined reference value with the amount of a change that occurs when the injection amount control means

Art Unit: 3747

changes the fuel injection amount from the injection amount for stoichiometric operation (Column 10, Lines 58-63);

- e. a judgment unit, which, when comparing the change to the reference value, judges that a permissible level is exceeded by the air intake amount variations among the cylinders (Column 10, Line 65 through Column 11, Line 17).
- 54. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified system disclosed by Shomura with the invention disclosed by Mashiki to arrive at the current invention.
- 55. Claims 4, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki as applied to claims 1, 5, and 9 above, and further in view of Maloney (US Patent 6,481,273 B2).
- 56. In re Claims 4, 8, and 12, Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki disclose the claimed invention except for the process wherein the computation means extracts a change component having the same frequency as a fuel injection amount change frequency etc.
- 57. However, filtering process is common knowledge in the art, as is evidenced by Maloney, who discloses the claimed response filtering process (Column 1, Line 45 through Column 2, Line 2).
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made the modify the system disclosed by Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki with the filtering process disclosed by Maloney in order to get a more accurate assessment of change in torque as a result of a single fuel injection.

- 59. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki/Maloney as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and further in view of Yomogida (US Patent 6,513,496 B2).
- 60. In re Claim 14 Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki/Maloney do not explicitly disclose a periodic change of injection outside of the range of human perception.
- 61. However, Yomogida discloses a system wherein an injection amount control means periodically changes the fuel injection amount at a frequency outside the range of human perception (see abstract)
- 62. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki/Maloney with the injection amount control means disclosed by Yomogida in order to provide a system that is less disturbing to the occupants of the vehicle.
- 63. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki/Maloney as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Iwano et al. (US Patent 6,155,230).
- 64. In re Claim 15, Shomura/Ishikawa et al./Mashiki/Maloney do not explicitly disclose the conversion means as set forth in the claims.
- 65. However, Iwano et al. disclose conversion means for converting the intake air amount variations among the cylinders to intake valve operating angle variations among the cylinders (Column 1, Lines 43-50).
- 66. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system disclosed by Shomura/Ishikawa et

Art Unit: 3747

al./Mashiki/Maloney with the conversion means disclosed by Iwano et al. in order to more precisely control intake air variation.

## Response to Arguments

67. Applicant's arguments filed 06/11/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regards to claims 2-4, 6-8, and 10-12, the examiner notes that said claims were initially considered allowable, however upon further review, and as mentioned in the May 7th telephone interview, said claims are in fact no longer considered allowable subject matter, as they are not patentable over Mashiki.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SIZO B. VILAKAZI whose telephone number is (571)270-3926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 10:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SV

/Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747